

# Continental Congress 2009—A Fact in the Letter and Strict Meaning of the Constitution or a Fiction in the Spirit and True Meaning of the Constitution

May 18, 2010

To: Delegates of Continental Congress 2009.

Have you ever given the thought that it might be the people who are violating the Constitution?

**Albert Einstein** Insanity: doing the same thing over and over again and expecting different results.

It is hoped that by reading this article, a person will discover why people who are disenchanted with government, receive no justice in the courts. You must also be aware that there is something that runs much deeper than you realize that must be addressed. While reading, you will also notice that there is a repeat of some things to shed a different light on the same subject. Through vast experiences in dealing with fighting government in all phases, it has taken the author of this article over 40 years to discover what follows.

As of June 5, 1933, the United States became a public trust composed of 14th amendment citizens that are bankrupt and in receivership to the world banking and insurance conglomerates under private international law, Congress being the trustees of that bankruptcy,<sup>1</sup> and the people hold a fiduciary position in the public trust in bankruptcy. There are no separation of powers of the three branches of government in a public trust. What is termed public is private law because over 51% of the people agree with the fact that the nation went into receivership to private international bankers as evidenced by HJR 192 on June 5, 1933. The term private tells the story that the nation of 14<sup>th</sup> amendment<sup>2</sup> citizens are not governed by public law; but by private

---

<sup>1</sup> Without getting into a lot of details, when a person becomes bankrupt, the normal procedure is to go to a lawyer and the lawyer will file papers with a court declaring bankruptcy. The judge of the bankruptcy court will appoint a trustee to administer that person's estate. At that point in time, the person still owns the estate but has lost control over the estate and this includes all of that person's money. That person does not spend or receive a penny without the trustee governing the transaction. Therefore, that person's estate becomes nothing more than an image in the spirit of the law. Once that trustee becomes appointed, that person no longer has any Constitutional rights under the letter and strict meaning of the Constitution. That person in the eyes of the law is a debtor and that person's rights have become delegated rights as determined by Congress, not by the Constitution. In other words, the government has limited that person's liability to that person's creditors, and has voluntarily given up that person's Constitutional rights to accept a privilege of limited liability for the payment of debt. In other words, that person has spent more resources than that person had coming in. Did anyone force that person into bankruptcy? The answer is no, that person has done it to himself. Personal bankruptcy is a microcosm of the nation of 14<sup>th</sup> amendment citizens.

<sup>2</sup> The 14<sup>th</sup> Amendment reads in part:

Section 1. All persons born or naturalized in the United States, **and subject to the jurisdiction thereof, are citizens of the United States and of the State wherein they reside.** No State shall make or enforce any law which shall abridge the privileges or immunities of citizens of the United States; nor shall any State deprive any person of life, liberty or property, without due process of law; nor deny to any person within its jurisdiction the equal protection of the law. (Bold emphasis added)

international law, therefore, the term public law as concerns 14<sup>th</sup> amendment citizens does not exist; nor does a Republican form of government. The question then becomes, how can a 14<sup>th</sup> amendment citizen/"person"/ "persons", petition a government that has not existed in simple terms since December 1, 1873; and in more complex terms since 1933? The simple answer is the government of today they think they are petitioning does not exist, and it is because of the enormous debt that 14<sup>th</sup> amendment citizens have created. The proper question is, where are the enacting clauses to all those private laws that people are compelled to perform to? The simple answer is, there are none. See "Fundamentals of Legal Research" noted herein.

## FUNDAMENTALS OF LEGAL RESEARCH

By

ERVIN H. POLLACK Professor of Law and Law Librarian

The Ohio State University

" Knowledge of the law is like a deep well, out of which each man draweth according to the strength of his understanding."

Sir Edward Coke

Brooklyn

THE FOUNDATION PRESS, INC. 1956

### 38 FEDERAL LEGISLATION CH. 4

#### Revised Statutes

"In 1874, Congress authorized a compilation of the federal law designated as the "Revised Statutes." This volume, known as the first edition, contained many inaccuracies and unauthorized changes in the law; therefore, ~ second edition of 1878, covering the same period to December 1, 1873, was authorized and published to correct those errors. All current citations to the Revised Statutes are to the second edition. The laws in force were re-written and classified under 74 titles. It contains some annotations to court decisions with marginal notes to the original acts. The Revised Statutes includes reference tables to

---

Section 4. The public debt shall not be questioned.

The 14th amendment is an executive order (proclamation) Vol. 1 of Presidential Executive Orders, 2 vols. (N.Y.: Books, Inc., 1944—Copyright by Mayor of N.Y. 1944).

"[T]he term 'subject to the jurisdiction thereof' . . . must be construed in the sense in which the term is used in international law as accepted in the United States as well as Europe. \* \* \* The provision of the 14<sup>th</sup> Amendment alluded to . . . is affirmative and declaratory, intended to allay doubts and to settle controversies which had arisen with respect to citizenship." Francis Wharton, *A Treatise on the Conflict of Laws or Private International Law*, 3rd ed. (Lawyers Co-operative Publishing Co., 1906), vol. 1, pp. 45-47.

the original statutes and tables of repealed statutes. The Revised Statutes 2nd edition, is the latest compilation which is evidence of the law, not merely prima facie evidence. Thus, it is evidence of the law to December 1, 1873. It was not reenacted as a unit but the specific inaccuracies of the first edition were separately amended. Two supplements to the Revised Statutes of 1878 were subsequently published, covering the periods of 1874 to 1891 and 1892 to 1901, respectively. These supplements have not been reenacted into the law and have since been superseded by the United States Code. The Statutes at Large is evidenced of the law from December 1, 1873, to date, except for the codified and reenacted titles of the United States Code. (64 Stat. 979, 980, 81st Cong., 2d Sess.)

The United States Code, 1952 edition, is the current official compilation of federal laws. This is a combined editorial product of a Congressional Committee, the West Publishing Co. and the Edward Thompson Company. The United States Code was issued originally in 1926 and that edition has since been superseded by later revisions. The United States Code is arranged in 50 titles and is periodically supplemented. It contains a very complete index and many tables, including statutes repealed, cross-references from the Revised Statutes (Statutes at Large) etc., to the United States Code sections. It should be noted that this code is not annotated. The United States Code is prima facie evidence of the law, rebuttable by reference to the original law in the Statutes at Large or Revised Statutes. Thus, the code is merely a recompilation of the law and not a revision. Congress, however, has revised and reenacted some of the individual titles of the laws. Such revisions include the following titles: Copyrights, Internal Revenue, Crimes and Criminal Procedure, Judiciary and Judicial Procedure, and Aliens and Nationality. These revisions are evidence of the law, having been reenacted into positive law.” END

“Persons” have been and continue to be put in prison and have their property taken from them based upon a committee and a publishing corporation. But then that is the way it is when you are a “person subject to” the 14<sup>th</sup> amendment, i.e., the social security and public debt trust where your entire estate is in receivership, and you only have an equitable use of it; as opposed to an absolute estate. In other words, you own the estate but you have no control over it.

Notice that the Revised Statutes, 2nd edition, is the latest compilation which is evidence of the law, not merely prima facie evidence. Thus, it is evidence of the law to December 1, 1873. In other words, evidence of the law is the public law. On that date of December 1, 1873, all statutes became prima facie evidence of private international law. That means that statutes including codes, and or administrative remedies have no enacting clause. The enacting clause of all Acts of Congress under [Title 1 USC Chap. 2 § 101](#) shall be in the following form to wit:

“Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled.”

In other words, laws that have no enacting clause have no force of law except as applied to 14<sup>th</sup> amendment citizens because they are beneficiaries to the public trust or debt via social security and banking debt. Fourteenth amendment citizens automatically trigger their own enacting clause by using their contract rights. The judges take judicial notice of that fact and that is why the 14<sup>th</sup> amendment citizen “person” or “persons” has/have never won anything in court based upon direct Constitutional issues of law, i.e., letter and strict meaning. In the four decades

that I have been trying to unravel the mysteries of how the law operates, there has never been one winning court case based upon direct Constitutional issues of law, except one, that relates directly to the U.S. Constitution because the Congress, acting as the trustee for a 14<sup>th</sup> amendment citizen, stands between that person and the Constitution. *Black's Law Dict.* describes it very well to wit:

Strawman as a fictitious person, specifically one that is weak or flawed. . . . A third party used in some transactions as a temporary transferee to allow the principal to accomplish something that is otherwise impossible. Compare with Dummy. From *Blacks Law Dict.* 8th edition at p. 1461.

It's impossible to defeat government on a direct Constitutional challenge and its money system as a 14<sup>th</sup> amendment citizen, "person" or "subject", because a 14<sup>th</sup> amendment citizen is not a sovereign individual. The only way to defeat government is with issues of law as the law relates directly to the U.S. Constitution via Article III courts using public statutory law under the Foreign Sovereign Immunities Act, (FSIA), 28 USC 1602-1611, otherwise, a "person" has no remedy in the courts or otherwise. Fourteenth amendment citizens have no access to the Foreign Sovereign Immunities Act because they have signed a contract (government forms, past or present), to be beneficiaries of the public debt. All the government is doing is enforcing the "subject's" contract rights to enjoy limited liability for their debts. Fourteenth amendment citizens, have both hands wrapped around their neck strangling themselves to death; all they have to do is release their hands.

Prima facie evidence of the law declares that all the law that the government throws against a person to compel performance to the taxing codes, both state and federal, can be impeached with a higher form of law. That higher form of law is your contract rights that can impeach the 14<sup>th</sup> amendment citizenship status; otherwise, a "person" cannot overcome the milestone decision of *Erie Railroad v. Tomkins* 304 US 64 (1938). Said ruling was made as a result of HJR 192 in 1933, that invokes the civil law of the private law merchant of bills notes, and credits and is now the law of the land, thereby ousting the common law of the Union of states as it relates to Article I Sec. 10 on the subject of "Payment" of debt.

The question becomes, how can an unincorporated association such as the CC 2009 interfere with 14<sup>th</sup> amendment citizens contract rights to have government take care of them? The simple answer is they can't. Members of CC 2009 represent the very governmental system they themselves are members as noted at the closing of the CC on November 22, 2009, to wit:

2:00 P.M. "CC2009 Congress: ADOPTION of ARTICLES OF ASSOCIATION, to be signed by the Delegates and posted on the Internet for at least eight million free People of America to sign and agree, in association, that should the U.S. Congress and the State Legislatures not signify, within forty days, their commitment to comply with each of the Constitutional, First Amendment REMEDIAL INSTRUCTIONS, the signers will participate in the course of action called for in the Constitutional, First Amendment CIVIC ACTION RESOLUTIONS, all as adopted by the Continental Congress 2009." [bold underline added]

You cannot sue yourself, thus one statement used by the courts is, “Failure to State a Claim Upon Which Relief Can be Granted”, or some other phrase that denies a “person” use of Article III courts. The CC of 2009 is nothing more than a restatement of the unincorporated association that is today’s public policy under the status of 14<sup>th</sup> amendment citizens that contracted with government for quasi corporate privileges and immunities. In the common law there are no privileges and immunities. In other words, you are on your own with no recourse to government for benefits. Congress is the 14<sup>th</sup> amendment citizen’s trustee in bankruptcy under private international law that operates outside the letter and strict meaning of the Constitution. See *Cohens v. Virginia*, 19 U.S. (6 Wheat.) 264 (1821).

The government that CC 2009 is petitioning is the unincorporated government that operates, NOT under the “letter and strict meaning” of the Constitution under the corporate charter of United States under Article IV Sec. 3 cl.1; but an unincorporated association of citizens under the “spirit and true meaning” of the law under Article IV Sec. 3 cl.2, and Article I Sec. 8 cl.3 of the commerce clause. Those persons reside outside the protection of the corporate United States, with its Union of common law states that automatically invokes the separation of powers doctrine. That Union of common law states protected common law citizens while in interstate commerce under the first Eight Amendments to the Constitution under the general federal commercial common law.

Fourteenth amendment citizens are not sovereign in their individual capacity. Consequently, NON 14<sup>th</sup> amendment citizens cannot partake in the Continental Congress 2009 because Congress is not his/her overlord. Said non 14<sup>th</sup> amendment citizens have exercised their contract rights to destroy public policies private money for public debt that created the presumption of 14<sup>th</sup> amendment citizenship.

Nationally, the only way to put a stop to the private law is where the whole nation demands a return to the public national money “Standard” whereby, 14<sup>th</sup> amendment citizens reject all government programs and live without the government (state and federal) being involved in their lives which under today’s environment is impossible. Fourteenth amendment subjects have given the present and past administrations the power to indebt 14<sup>th</sup> amendment citizens to the One World banking and insurance debt,<sup>3</sup> as noted in Sec. 4 of the 14<sup>th</sup> amendment. It will take the next

---

<sup>3</sup> I personally believe that the present liberal administration will have created such enormous debt from the stimulus and bailout programs that there is going to be an enormous increase in taxes and government oppression in 2012 because that is when the interest on such debt will start coming due. Health care cost will start to soar immediately after being passed by Congress. This dramatic increase in taxes and government oppression will cause the American people, who have been asleep for seventy-five years, to look for a quick fix in the form of a savior and shift to a conservative President. That that President will be a female that has a balance of masculine and feminine qualities to override today’s over balance to the feminine aspects of society. The problem is, the damage has already been done because of the fact that when a nations monetary system is set up to allow citizens to go into debt but you cannot pay off that debt, the end result is slavery to the masters behind that debt system. The words liberal or conservative are just words that have no real meaning when there is no money, only debt and credit and a static society that is dying on the vine.

All that debt will strangle competition in that there will be no money for the average 14<sup>th</sup> amendment citizen to pursue his own ventures. Nelson Rockefeller said competition is a sin. The powers that be established all this materialism in order to finance their One World Quasi Corporate Monolith and are now planning to take away that materialism. The environmental movement has been established and financed by the taxpayers and controlled by the banking and insurance conglomerates using the Hegelian dialectic of thesis, antithesis, and synthesis in order to help

2,100 years to pay off the debt if the Obama administration spends all of the stimulus and bailout money debt/credit. The only way to opt out of such tyranny is to exit the 14th amendment with its quasi corporate privileges and immunities. The masters behind the One World government cannot finance a one world quasi corporate monolith<sup>4</sup>, so they prey on the people to do it for them by offering the citizens a few peanuts to lure them into signing their private government forms while the international elite enslave and steal the people blind.

As you can read, I do not think much of groups. There is always a power struggle to be top dog and egos erupt and that ends that. What are needed are more independent thinking people that stand on their own without being beneficiaries to the public debt. I have been at this game long enough to know that there is not going to be a stampede of people fleeing the 14<sup>th</sup> amendment status and those who do, will be exceptional people. I advise those who want to be free to have their own piece of land free of taxes (that can be accomplished) suitable for farming and be strong people. The major problem is, people want the government benefits but don't want the liability of performing to it. Therefore the issue becomes an individual effort to be free.

There is another misconception being spread on the internet that the PUBLIC corporate United States is destroying the nation. The PUBLIC corporate United States under Article IV Sec. 3 cl.1 of the U.S. Constitution is incapable of destroying the nation. The truth of the matter is in fact and in law that it is the PRIVATE unincorporated association under Article IV Sec. 3 cl.2; in conjunction with the commerce clause of the U.S. Constitution that is destroying the nation of 14th amendment citizens. If what is being said on the internet is true, there is over 27,000 U.S. Supreme Court decisions that are absolutely wrong; probably 3 million state court decisions that are absolutely wrong; 1 million federal district court and federal circuit court decisions that are wrong. Our whole government structure is wrong. The ancient laws of the past are wrong. It's pure insanity to think that way.

---

put in place a no competition society under their phony green society, and carbon footprint scam that the people are buying into just as the banking and insurance conglomerates have very cunningly planned. What is meant by the green society is where they force people off the land through exorbitant property taxes, and environmental laws into communal high rise apartment buildings. There is a saying going around the country that in 2012, according to the Mayan calendar, there is going to be an alignment of the planets that will cause a massive shift in the conscience of the people. The powers that be love to use natural events to coincide with what they have in mind for their One World Quasi Corporate Monolith slave state. Is there a conspiracy? No, everything the powers that be are doing is out in the open, you just have to know where and what to look for such as the Georgia Guidestones.

<sup>4</sup> In the soon to be one world quasi corporate monolith, there will be three corporations that will control each manufacturing and distribution sector. For an example, office suppliers such as Staples; Office Max; Office Depot, there will be no others. The same can be said of the drug companies; the feed grain companies such as Cargill, ADM, and a real monster, Monsanto. The powers that be are establishing three corporations that belong to an unincorporated association that will be termed something like "The Association of Office Supply Manufactures"; "The Association of Drug Manufactures" etc. The reason being, that less than three would be considered to be in violation of the anti-trust laws. These corporations will be totally controlled by the banking elite. There will be no Government as we think of Government under the corporate charter called the "Constitution of United States of America"; instead the so called government will be nothing more than a gigantic computer program operated by a private quasi corporate machine under Article IV Sec. 3 cl.2. If you have any doubts of what you are reading, go to the first page of Dunn & Bradstreet on the internet, and type in any name that you think is government and see what comes up. You will notice that all names are spelled in capital letters and that each entity has a Dunn & Bradstreet credit report. NOTICE the term, "PRIVATE COMPANY". The above is a result of the people being 14<sup>th</sup> amendment citizens in an unincorporated association called the United States and it is all Constitutional.

Court decisions omitted. For further information go to [www.the-law.biz](http://www.the-law.biz)

Since 1933, 14<sup>th</sup> amendment citizens do not have a Union of states under the common law; but inchoate states in a federation under private international law as it applies to a nation of 14<sup>th</sup> amendment citizens. The term “inchoate states” is used in *O’Donoghue v. United States*, 289 US 516, 537 (1933), that was decided May 29, 1933, when the nation became insolvent by the United States Congress, 73rd, 1st session by the passage of Executive Order 2039, on March 6, 1933, and Executive Order 2040, on March 9, 1933. The reason for the *O’Donoghue* case being decided on May 29, 1933, was the court wanted to firmly establish that the public law of the corporate United States was to remain supreme despite what was to happen with HJR 192 on June 5, 1933. The law follows the money, it has always been that way and will always be that way. The law never changes but your access to the law is in constant change.

Following HJR 192 and *O’Donoghue* above mentioned, then the *Erie RR* doctrine in 1938 that overturned the general federal commercial common law doctrine of *Swift v. Tyson* 16 Peters 1 (1842), based upon “Payment” of debt; had revealed its defects, political and social, and the benefits expected to flow from the rule did not accrue. Persistence of state courts in their own opinions on questions of common law prevented uniformity; and the impossibility of discovering a satisfactory line of demarcation between the province of general law and that of local law developed a new well of uncertainties, *Erie RR v. Tompkins* 304 US 64, 74. (1938). *Erie* was decided 5 years after HJR 192 that made it official that the private law of commercial instruments in “discharge” of debt under private international law was the law of the land that would change the political and social fabric of our society. In other words, the common law of the states in the Union with its public money for “payment” of private debt was replaced with private money for the “discharge” of public debt under private international law. In other words, by operation of law, when a person “discharges” a debt under private law, that “discharge” is considered a quasi corporate privilege in addition to, when a group engages in such an activity, such as in banking, without the proper contractual arrangements, that group becomes subject to Title 15 USC Chap. 41 sec. 1602 (c), (d), (e), that is an unincorporated association of persons who do business without a corporate charter, therefore the unincorporated association is open for the courts under Article I of the Constitution to determine the premise upon which the unincorporated association is to be governed.

Next is the unincorporated side of the banks created by HJR 192. There is no charter to spell out the terms, conditions, and liabilities of the new unincorporated banking association that deals in debt/credit. Since 1933, it is now presumed that by HJR 192, that you are using the debt/credit of public policy whereby everybody reinsures everybody else in the communal debt as evidenced by Title 15 USC Chap. 41 Sec. 1602 (c), (d), (e). HJR 192 states:

*“Resolved by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled.”*

Notice it doesn’t say, “enacted”. “Resolved” is not an enacting clause, therefore the resolution is only prima facie evidence of the law, the same is said about Title 15 USC Chap. 41 Sec. 1602 (c), (d), (e), is private law that can be impeached by a higher form of law because the resolution cannot say:

*“Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress Assembled”* [is an enacting clause i.e., public law.]

HJR 192 contains no corporate charter to control the association, therefore its members must be controlled by bureaucrats and administrative codes that the courts take judicial notice of the difference between the two different jurisdictions. The letter and strict meaning and interpretation of the law in the incorporated side, i.e., Article IV Sec. 3 cl.; as opposed to the spirit and true meaning of the law in the unincorporated side, i.e., Article IV Sec. 3 cl.2.

An “unincorporated association” is not a “legal entity” but is more in nature of “partnership”, *Sperry Products v. Association of American Railroads*, D.C.N.Y., 44F.Supp. 660, 662. [Bold emphasis added]

An unincorporated association is merely a body of individuals acting together, without a corporate charter, but upon methods and forms used by incorporated bodies, for prosecution of some common enterprise. *Stafford v. Wood* 68 S.E.2d 268, 270.

As regards unincorporated associations, 14<sup>th</sup> amendment citizens, and land titles.

“An unincorporated association at common law is not a legal person, has not the capacity to receive title to property, . . . “ Restatement, Trusts, Second §§ 89-100. LAW OF TRUSTS, 5th ed. by Bogart at p. 90 (1973). [underline added].

Fourteenth amendment “persons” do not have access to allodial land titles because those “persons” have contracted away from the common law and the Constitution to accept privileges and immunities under the civil law, therefore cannot free the land from property taxes and insane environmental regulations. See *Wallace v. Harmstad* 44 Pa 492, 501, (1863).

An organization treated as a corporation for Federal tax purposes even though it may not qualify as such under applicable state law. What is designated as a trust or a partnership, for example, may be classified as an association if it clearly possesses corporate attributes. Corporate attributes include: centralized management, continuity of existence, free transferability of interests, and limited liability. I.R.C. § 7701(a)(3).

The American people as 14<sup>th</sup> amendment citizens have violated the common law when they left the common law of the Union of states in 1933 to join a federation of inchoate states under the civil law, thereby becoming outlaws. It is the people who are violating the Constitution therefore they have no constitutional rights.

For more in depth understanding of unincorporated associations go to my website [www.the-law.biz](http://www.the-law.biz)

If the premise is wrong, everything is wrong. Clearly, if a person believes the premise that the corporate United States is your enemy, and that 14<sup>th</sup> amendment citizens have absolute rights under the first ten amendments (9<sup>th</sup> and 10<sup>th</sup> amendments pertain to the states) to the Bill of Rights; never in a million years will anybody ever figure out the solution to be free. All the facts

surrounding the law are conclusive that the corporate United States as states of the Union under the common law is incapable of destroying the United States, because the United States Constitution was founded upon the principles of the common law.

“The Constitution is to be read and interpreted in the light of common law principles of history familiarly known to the framers.” *Kansas v. Colorado*, 185 U.S. 125, (1902).

As noted in *Munn v. Illinois*, 94 U.S. 113 (1876) the Court said:

This brings us to inquire as to the principles upon which this power of regulation rests, in order that we may determine what is within and what without its operative effect. Looking, then, to the common law, from whence came the right which the Constitution protects, we find that when private property is ‘affected with a public interest, it ceases to be *juris privati* only’. . . . Property does become clothed with a public interest when used in a manner to make it of public consequence, and affect the community at large. When, therefore, one devotes his property to a use in which the public has an interest, he, in effect, grants to the public an interest in that use and must submit to be controlled by the public for the common good, to the extent of interest he has thus created. He may withdraw his grant by discontinuing the use; but, so long as he maintains the use, he must submit to the control.” [underline emphasis added]

\*In June 1957, the government of United States published a work entitled *Jurisdiction Over Federal Areas within the States: Report of the Interdepartmental Committee for the Study of Jurisdiction Over Federal Areas within the States, Part II*.

\*The federal government cannot, by unilateral action on its part acquire legislative jurisdiction over any area within the exterior boundaries of the state, *Id.*, at 46. [Bold underline emphasis added]

\*The question becomes what boundaries? The above published work was established 50 + years ago and since that time, the state boundary lines in the states constitutions have disappeared. **The federal government is not to blame, it’s the people who have unilaterally reached into the government and signed those government documents to become a beneficiary of the government’s public debt.** \*For a more in depth perspective, see, “USING CONSTITUTIONAL LAW IN ITS CORRECT PREMISE” on website mentioned below.

The common law of the states was founded upon Article I Section 10 in “Payment” of debt and the contract clause. The common law awarded “payment” as restitution for damages, and innocent until proven guilty; as opposed to the Civil law that imposes guilt until you have proven yourself innocent. The Constitution was written to protect the people under the common law of the Union of states as evidenced by Article I Section 10 and Article IV Sec. 3 cl.1 of the Constitution that was public money for the “Payment” of private debt; NOT private money for “discharge” of public debt under the federation of inchoate states as evidenced by Article IV Sec. 3 cl.2. Contrary to what the people believe, the Government under its enumerated powers is abiding by the letter and strict meaning of the Constitution. The problem is the people have reached into the government by signing debt agreements and government forms without

exercising their contract rights. The people have absolute contract rights under Article I Sec.10 to repudiate the governments' benefits. Said benefits are automatically executed by operation of law that creates a 14<sup>th</sup> amendment "person" in the "spirit and true meaning" of the Constitution under Article IV Sec. 3 cl.2, and the commerce clause; as opposed to a person under Article IV Sec. 3 cl.1, with its "letter and strict meaning" of the Constitution. The law never changes, but your access to the law is in constant change.

The federal government was never meant to have contact with the people. Let's face it, freedom has made the people greedy in that they want more to do less, acquire something they are not capable of having, in addition to having become complacent, fat, and lazy, and the idea that the government owes them something and, are now looking for an escape goat in the form of some group to save them from themselves instead of going within themselves. You cannot have the best of both worlds, i.e., Article IV Sec. 3 cl.1; and Article IV Sec. 3 cl.2. It's either one or the other. You cannot straddle the fence.

To illustrate the above in another way. There is no money in a lock box, only future performances of "persons". In the Congressional record concerning the suspension of gold standard in 1933, it was revealed that there were contracts made for the future delivery of gold than there was gold in the U.S. Treasury. The reason being, the creation of the Federal Reserve System that introduced the law of the private law merchant under international law with its commercial paper that created more multiple demands on the gold that caused the gold "Standard" to be suspended.<sup>5</sup> In other words, the gold Standard failed to meet the needs of the rapidly expanding commerce and population that created a major dilemma. What is going to happen to all this commercial paper that is floating around the country? Is that commercial paper going to be eventually "paid" in gold and silver, or is that paper considered a wagering policy whereby the participants, unbeknown to them, are not going to be "paid". In other words, those electronic funds will be lost the same as a loser in a crap game. Who then is the winner? All those at the top that stole your labor to finance their one world government. Note that HJR 192 is only a suspension of the gold "Standard", not an abolishment. Make no mistake about it, when the One Worlders' establish their One World Quasi Corporate Monolith, the nation is going to return to the gold and silver money system, but not under the national "Standard" that was owned and controlled by the people that was public money for private debt. Those gold and silver coins will not have a fixed "Standard" but will be considered commodities that will fluctuate with the fair market value based upon supply and demand, natural or otherwise. There will be no Government; only raw naked mega national corporate associations that will control the masses where forced abortions and three different jobs to support the enormous debt will be the order of the day. On the subject of silver coins, see [US law 31 USC 5112\(e\)](#). Notice it doesn't say who gave or gives the silver to the U.S. Mint. You can be rest assured the silver belongs to the Federal Reserve System and is NOT the silver that is owned by the American people therefore is a "DISCHARGE" of debt to a private company. It must be mentioned that someday, Federal Reserve Notes which are gold notes, not on demand, but gold guaranteed, will be redeemed in gold. Despite what you hear to the contrary, the gold in Fort Knox is still there and will be used by the United States to redeem those FRN's. Because of the economic times of today, people are clamoring for silver coins thinking that will get government out of their lives. Silver cannot be

---

<sup>5</sup> The silver "Standard" was suspended in 1863 from one dollar in silver to a silver dollar, and finally taken out of circulation in 1964.

created out of thin air like check book money and credit cards which are slowly being replaced by debit cards and eventually the debit cards will have to be backed up with the silver coins. As noted above, the hard coin will be controlled by, NOT THE PEOPLE, but by the internationalists that will be rationed by the private government that will choke off all competition to the One World Quasi Corporate Monolith. Said monolith being a government association of corporate communism at its absolute worst, untouchable by any Government.

We now have a society making contracts with credit cards, and checks based upon “discharging” a debt that the people think is being “paid” when that “person” sets up an account in the banking system. That account automatically through a presumption sets up a quasi contract, (unless that “person” rebuts the presumption), with the government under Title 15 USC Chap. 41 sec. 1602, (c), (d), (e), whereby that “person” agrees never to demand “payment”. In other words, that “person” by their silence has agreed unilaterally to compelled performance to the government as their over lord forgiving them from “paying” their debts”, i.e., “discharging” their debts. Said “discharge” grants them a government privilege of limited liability for the payment of debt. In other words, that “person” has given up their Constitutional rights under the letter and strict meaning of the Constitution; thus opting into the spirit and true meaning of the Constitution as determined by Congress as trustees of that “person’s agreed to bankruptcy under HJR 192.

Here are some of the problems with “discharging” a debt. (1) There is always a third party involved in the contract that means no privacy. (2) When “discharging” a debt the third party is the government through the Federal Reserve Banking System. (3) There is a government privilege involved that invokes government taxes. (4) There is a residual of the debt left over as noted in *Stanek v. White*, 172 Minn. 390, 215 N.W. 784.

There is a distinction between a “debt discharged” and a “debt paid.” When discharged the debt still exists though divested of its character as a legal obligation during the operation of the discharge. Something of the original vitality of the debt continues to exist which may be transferred, even though the transferee takes it subject to its disability incident to the discharge. The fact that it carries something which may be a consideration for a new promise to pay, so as to make an otherwise worthless promise a legal obligation, makes it the subject of transfer by assignment. There is a distinction between a “debt discharged” and a “debt paid.” When discharged the debt still exists though divested of its character as a legal obligation during the operation of the discharge. Something of the original vitality of the debt continues to exist which may be transferred, even though the transferee takes it subject to its disability incident to the discharge. The fact that it carries something which may be a consideration for a new promise to pay, so as to make an otherwise worthless promise a legal obligation, makes it the subject of transfer by assignment.

Additionally, when a “person” borrows what they think is money from a bank, the bank is not lending that “person” anything but their own good word that they will perform. Then the bank charges interest on something they never had in the first place. In other words, the bank created the principal out of thin air. When you have a nation that can go into debt, but cannot “pay” off the debt, it isn’t going to be long before the people who created the god of corporate communist materialism out of nothing, will have complete and total control over the people. The nation of 14<sup>th</sup> amendment citizens are now at that point.

The nation today is at the same crossroads that it had in 1933, except with a different twist. Since the early days of the nation's bankruptcy in 1933, contracts were made not based upon the substance of the land, i.e., gold and silver, but upon the compelled performance of the people. For every one dollar created on credit there were approximately 45 people that covered for that one dollar of debt. Then came the Social Security Act in 1935 whereby millions, then billions, and now trillions of dollars were created out of thin air. In the beginning of the social security act, there wasn't much of a problem because of the 45 to 1 ratio, but as the social security debt became more indebted because of added beneficiaries, and a medical system that uses the Hegelian dialectics, the more money needs to be extracted from the performers.

Then along came birth control with fewer performers. It is to the point today that there are approximately 3 ½ performers to everyone not performing and the number is growing smaller in the number of performers. The major problem is the private debt consisting of retirement policies and other insurances; and public social security debt is combined into one debt because of the private Federal Reserve and banking system being in contract with the government to issue the nation's money supply. There is no money in a lock box, only human performances to fulfill the needs of the future non-performers. In order to solve this problem, the powers that be bring in illegal aliens so the debt/credit system can monetize them as "persons" "subject to" the 14<sup>th</sup> amendment. Remember, citizenship under the 14<sup>th</sup> amendment is private international law. In other words, once the government acquires the aliens signature on a U.S. government document, the government acting under Article IV sec. 3 cl.2; and the commerce clause of Article I Sec. 8 cl.3 of the Constitution of U.S. will restate the aliens signature name to all CAPITAL letters. At that point in time that alien will be given a social security account number and that account number will be monetized through the Federal Reserve System in a debt/credit account and that "person" is no longer an alien. In other words, he or she becomes CAPITAL in the debt/credit system under Article IV sec. 3 cl.2, and the commerce clause with quasi corporate privileges and immunities that has nothing to do with the common law and the Union of states. If the government can monetize enough aliens it will ease the future contract obligations and Social Security benefits for the future non-producers. Otherwise, to do nothing could result in present and future retirees' receiving nothing should the debt/credit system totally collapse. ©

**Colonel Edward Mandell House had this to say in a private meeting with Woodrow Wilson (President) [1913-1921]**

"[Very] soon, every American will be required to register their biological property in a National system designed to keep track of the people and that will operate under the ancient system of pledging. By such methodology, we can compel people to submit to our agenda, which will affect our security as a chargeback for our fiat paper currency. Every American will be forced to register or suffer not being able to work and earn a living. They will be our chattel, and we will hold the security interest over them forever, by operation of the law merchant under the scheme of secured transactions. Americans, by unknowingly or unwittingly delivering the bills of lading to us will be rendered bankrupt and insolvent, forever to remain economic slaves through taxation, secured by their pledges. They will be stripped of their rights and given a commercial value designed to make us a profit and they will be none the wiser, for not one man in a million could ever figure our plans and, if by accident one or two would figure it out, we have in our arsenal plausible deniability. After all, this is the only logical way to fund government, by floating liens and debt to the registrants in the form of benefits and privileges. This will inevitably reap to us huge profits beyond our wildest expectations and leave every American a contributor or to this fraud which we will call "Social Insurance." Without realizing it, every American will insure us for any loss we may incur and in this manner; every American will unknowingly be our servant, however

begudgingly. The people will become helpless and without any hope for their redemption and, we will employ the high office of the President of our dummy corporation to foment this plot against America.”

*Black's Law Dict.* 6th Ed. Dummy corporation. Corporation formed for sham purposes and not for conduct of legitimate business; formed for the sole reason of avoiding personal liability.

An unincorporated society; a body of persons united and acting together without a charter, but upon the methods and forms used by incorporated bodies for the prosecution of some common enterprise. *Clark v. Grand Lodge of Brotherhood of Railroad Trainmen*, 328 Mo.1084, 43 S.W.2d 404, 408. It is not a legal entity separate from the persons who compose it. [Bold emphasis added]

This is today’s unincorporated association called public policy or a corporation in the spirit of the law. There is no charter of incorporation as it only exists in the mind of the people who make up a dummy corporation who enjoys limited liability. Welcome debt-laden 14<sup>th</sup> amendment citizens.

The above article cannot be proven, but it’s happening right before our very eyes. When the nation went bankrupt in 1933, everything that represented “United States of America” went into receivership to the “United States” under the internationalist through maritime law. Yes even our flag represents a nation of debt. Is this why we pledge allegiance to the flag (1892) ??? A maximum in Maritime law is the flag that flies is the law that applies, the law of debt under the private law merchant

The arrogance of these people is they believe in their own lies. Even the law merchant admits its laws are voluntary. The solution, trash your 14<sup>th</sup> amendment (1868) status. The people can start by destroying those checking accounts and those blood sucking credit cards. These are some of the things that are meant by the above term law merchant.

"If ye love wealth better than liberty, the tranquility of servitude better than the animating contact of freedom ... go home from us in peace We ask not your counsels of arms ... crouch down and lick the hands that feed you ... May your chains set lightly upon you ... May posterity forget that ye are our countryman." - Samuel Adams, 1722-1803.

"If a nation values anything more than freedom, it will lose its freedom; and the irony of it is that if it is comfort or money that it values more, it will lose that too." Somerset Maugham English Novelist and Playwright, 1874-1965.

The nation of 14<sup>th</sup> amendment citizens are on the brink of losing all of the above.

For more information see

**“A DOSE OF REALITY FOR THE ILLUSIONAL WHO RESIDE IN THE SPIRIT OF THE CONSTITUTION AS OPPOSED TO THOSE WHO DEMAND THE LETTER AND STRICT MEANING OF THE CONSTITUTION” at [www.the-law.biz](http://www.the-law.biz)**

ONE THING IS FOR CERTAIN IN THIS WORLD, YOU'RE GOING TO GET  
WHAT YOU ARE WILLING TO PUT UP WITH.

Lee Brobst [www.the-law.biz](http://www.the-law.biz) is my official website.